Viewpoint



Fixed Income Absolute Return Amid Sovereign Debt Uncertainty

Paul Brain, Investment Leader for Fixed Income, Newton¹ Colm McDonagh, Head of Emerging Market Fixed Income, Insight²



Over the past five or six years, we've seen far more volatility in some of the sovereign markets and interest rate markets, so the concept of absolute returns is probably a lot more viable now.

NEWTONThe Power of Ideas



Executive Summary

Against the backdrop of a low interest rate environment in much of the developed world, heightened market volatility, and sovereign debt concerns, Paul Brain, Newton's investment leader for fixed income, and Colm McDonagh, head of emerging market fixed income at Insight, discuss the potential benefits of fixed income absolute return approaches.

Why have investors started using fixed income strategies to seek absolute returns?

Paul Brain: One of the main reasons is the threat of higher interest rates and monetary policy tightening by the major central banks, a concern that is likely to continue as economies recover. The other reason is the deteriorating credit quality of some of the government bond markets, and the resulting desire for diversification away from pure bond indices that are based on market capitalization.

Colm McDonagh: In a very low interest rate environment investors are faced with a hurdle regarding the kind of return target they are aiming for. It's not as simple as making an allocation to fixed income, holding it through some volatility and taking the yield through to maturity. Over the past five or six years, we've seen far more volatility in some of the sovereign markets and interest rate markets, so the concept of absolute returns is probably a lot more viable now.

Does a risk-free rate of return exist anymore?

Colm McDonagh: I would say no. We are all used to the theory of a risk-free rate, against which almost everything else is priced. However, the massive shift of liabilities from the private to the public sector has created a lot of issues. While none of the major economies are yet in any real distress, the mere fact that the U.S. has been put on a negative outlook is a stark warning signal. Coming from an emerging markets background with its additional uncertainties, I'm convinced there's no such thing as a risk-free rate.

¹ See disclosure on page 6.

² See disclosure on page 6.

The question now is whether investors can maintain confidence in. for example, the U.S. Treasury market or European government bond markets I think it's very hard to look too far ahead, but there are concerns about government credit quality as well as a normalization of rates in conjunction with deteriorating credit quality.

Paul Brain: There's no real concept of a risk-free rate; everything has a risk to it, and I think the risks have definitely increased in the U.S. Treasury market now. The risk of policy error from either the Fed or from the government itself has definitely risen. I think when we look at the U.S. Treasury market, we see it as having more risk attached to it.

How does the current market environment compare with the turbulence of 2008?

Colm McDonagh: In 2008, there was a massive bank funding issue, while we are now faced with a public sector funding issue. What lessons can be learned from 2008? You could make the argument that while we have had easy global monetary conditions for a period, and growth remains supportive, the current situation is less acute. However, imagine if growth wasn't supportive. It would not necessarily create a scenario with a Lehman Brothers-type shock, but it could result in a loss of investor confidence in those areas that have traditionally held that confidence.

The question now is whether investors can maintain confidence in, for example, the U.S. Treasury market or European government bond markets. I think it's very hard to look too far ahead, but there are concerns about government credit quality as well as a normalization of rates in conjunction with deteriorating credit quality.

Paul Brain: The year 2008 saw the deleveraging or pricking of the credit bubble, which had all sorts of implications for liquidity and credit markets. Now we are seeing the debt being shifted from the private to public sector. This is a shift that can only be temporary, because rating agencies will likely start to highlight the significant increase in debt and how it has to be addressed.

In my view, governments need to focus on fiscal austerity, and we're seeing that quite aggressively across many developed economies. There are two scenarios for fiscal austerity: one is to actually reduce the deficit, growth remains positive, and an improvement in credit outlook is achieved over time. The other one is a failure to achieve sufficient growth, a failure to move to a primary surplus, and the economy falls over and the government ends up defaulting. So the follow-up to a financial crisis can involve either significant inflation or, in some cases, significant defaults as well, so fixed income investors are faced by an uncertain period ahead.

I think the problem we have with bond indices is that they are counterintuitive, because they're weighted by market capitalization. The more a country or a company borrows, the greater its weight in that index.

What would be the likelihood of governments allowing sovereign defaults?

Colm McDonagh: I think exceptionally high in some cases where the levels of debt are simply unsustainable, especially in some Eurozone countries. I believe that will eventually lead to policy action in the form of re-profiling or the extension of maturities. This is effectively default, but it will be managed in such a way that it minimizes the impact on the European banking system. Greece is one of those worst affected, and I doubt that it has the capacity to alter the trajectory of its debt burden in the way that the European Union and European Central Bank hope that it would. As such, a default or similar scenario seems inevitable to me.

Paul Brain: I agree with Colm, and I think the best we can expect is some form of re-profiling or restructuring that doesn't crucify the European banking system. A lot of the Greek debt is now increasingly held by official institutions, and the ECB in particular, so it's perhaps a bit easier to propose a voluntary restructuring rather than an actual default, because most of the holders would likely be in favor of that.

What are the implications of the current investment environment for asset allocation decisions?

Paul Brain: In my view, the run-up to the financial crisis was a becalmed period in which asset allocation was largely forgotten as a tool to diversify risk and potential returns. But as we move into this more turbulent post-financial crisis period, I believe asset allocation will become increasingly important.

How do investors tackle the inherent risk in falling bond indices?

Paul Brain: I believe investors need to acknowledge and manage those risks. I think the problem we have with bond indices is that they are counterintuitive, because they're weighted by market capitalization. The more a country or a company borrows, the greater its weight in that index. Japan is one of the best examples of that. Its share of the global bond index has grown rapidly over the last 20 years, but it's been the worst performing government bond market over that period as well. So if you were an index tracker, you were forced into locking yourself into an underperforming part of the market, just because its weight is going up in the index.

So given the way the indices work, those risks must be recognized. If the index is based on market capitalization, the chances are that the size of a government's deficit is growing and you'll lock yourself into an underperforming government bond market. You can do things about it, such as diversifying away from Japan and investing in other markets. Ultimately, in our view, giving your manager flexibility is very important.

How do you address liquidity risk in fixed income?

Colm McDonagh: I think that in any investment strategy, while it may be easy to enter a trade, you have to make sure you can exit the trade, too, so in my view a liquidity filter is essential. Indeed, a number of long/short strategies in 2008 didn't do particularly well because investors had dropped down on the liquidity curve. The ability to exit positions didn't exist. As a result, liquidity risk has certainly become a greater issue over the past few years.

Currency is now probably the most liquid instrument to manage market exposures because that liquidity provides a much bigger transmission mechanism to change an exposure from long

to short.

Paul Brain: As we saw during the credit crisis, liquidity can dry up very rapidly in certain parts of the corporate bond market. I think the secret is to design a strategy that is relatively simple, that fully understands the availability or the liquidity in the market and also understands that liquidity can change and must be monitored. So if you are investing in high yield, an asset class which can be very illiquid at times, you have to monitor that changing liquidity environment and adjust your positions accordingly.

Are there instances when you might push against the boundaries of investment guidelines?

Paul Brain: I think it is important to design a strategy that has a limited amount of guidelines. I think the problem with restrictions is that they can work for a certain period of time, and you might feel that they are providing you with better quality, perhaps more of a safe haven. However, as the credit quality of that underlying asset class changes, perhaps you don't have the ability to avoid it, and you could actually be opening yourself up to more risk with inflexible restrictions.

How can you use currency to achieve absolute returns?

Colm McDonagh: Currency is becoming increasingly more relevant to absolute return strategies. If you go back 10 or 15 years, a number of emerging market countries had currency pegs or managed currency regimes. Part of the evolution of the local currency bond index and bond market is the fact that it obviously makes far more sense for countries to borrow in their own currency. As a result, the bulk of our universe now is invested in local currency instruments, and in 5 or 10 years time, we would expect to see a predominantly local currency universe.

So the volatility of currency, if you look at your standard return on a local market fund, adds about two-thirds of the volatility and potentially contributes massively to performance, either positively or negatively. Currency is now probably the most liquid instrument to manage market exposures because that liquidity provides a much bigger transmission mechanism to change an exposure from long to short.

Fixed income absolute return strategies typically have a stated objective of seeking a return of LIBOR plus 2%, because looking at the investable universe, and the historic levels of return from just having a static weighting to it, it has generally been LIBOR plus 2% with a certain amount of volatility implicit in that.

What sort of return might investors seek from an absolute return fixed income approach in this environment?

Paul Brain: That is a difficult question, because it depends on where you are in the interest rate cycle, the credit cycle, the macroeconomic cycle and so on. Fixed income absolute return strategies typically have a stated objective of seeking a return of LIBOR plus 2%, because looking at the investable universe, and the historic levels of return from just having a static weighting to it, it has generally been LIBOR plus 2% with a certain amount of volatility implicit in that. But if LIBOR is 10% then that means 12%, if LIBOR is 0% that makes 2%, so it does change over time.

Colm McDonagh: We are involved in emerging markets, where there is generally a higher range of volatility and opportunity. Strategies will typically seek to achieve LIBOR plus 4% to 6%, but again, that is over a specific cycle. This year, because we're seeing a transmission mechanism of higher rates, it is likely to be more difficult to generate that rate of return.

Disclosure

The Newton Group refers to the following group of companies: Newton Investment Management Limited, Newton Capital Management Limited, Newton International Investment Management Limited, Newton Capital Management LLC, and Newton Fund Managers (CI) Limited. Except for Newton Capital Management LLC and Newton Capital Management Limited, none of the other Newton companies offers services in the U.S.

Insight Investment Management (Global) Limited (Insight Investment) is a London-based asset manager specializing in fixed income, liability-driven investment, cash management, multi-asset, absolute return and specialist equity strategies. It does not offer services in the U.S. This article does not constitute an offer of the firm's services to any U.S. investor or where otherwise unlawful.

BNY Mellon Asset Management is one of the world's leading asset management organizations, encompassing BNY Mellon's affiliated investment management firms and global distribution companies. BNY Mellon is the corporate brand of The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation. • The statements and opinions expressed in this document are those of the authors as of the date of the article, are subject to change as economic and market conditions dictate, and do not necessarily represent the views of BNY Mellon, BNY Mellon Asset Management International or any of their respective affiliates. This document is of general nature, does not constitute legal, tax or investment advice, is not predictive of future performance, and should not be construed as an offer to sell or a solicitation to buy any security or make an offer where otherwise unlawful. The information has been provided without taking into account the investment objective, financial situation or needs of any particular person. BNY Mellon Asset Management International Limited and its affiliates are not responsible for any subsequent investment advice given based on the information supplied.

Past performance is not a guide to future performance. The value of investments and the income from them is not guaranteed and can fall as well as rise due to stock market and currency movements. When you sell your investment you may get back less than you originally invested. • While the information in this document is not intended to be investment advice, it may be deemed a financial promotion in non-U.S. jurisdictions. Accordingly, where this document is used or distributed in any non-U.S. jurisdiction, the information provided is for use by professional investors only and not for onward distribution to, or to be relied upon by, retail investors. • Products or services described in this document are provided by BNY Mellon, its subsidiaries, affiliates or related companies and may be provided in various countries by one or more of these companies where authorized and regulated as required within each jurisdiction. This document may not be distributed or used for the purpose of offers or solicitations in any jurisdiction or in any circumstances in which such offers or solicitations are unlawful or not authorized, or where there would be, by virtue of such distribution, new or additional registration requirements. Persons into whose possession this document comes are required to inform themselves about and to observe any restrictions that apply to the distribution of this document in their jurisdiction. The investment products and services mentioned here are not insured by the FDIC (or any other state or federal agency), are not deposits of or guaranteed by any bank, and may lose value. • This document should not be published in hard copy, electronic form, via the web or in any other medium accessible to the public, unless authorized by BNY Mellon Asset Management International Limited

In Australia, this document is issued by BNY Mellon Asset Management Australia Limited (ABN 56102482815, AFS License No. 227865) located at Level 6, 7 Macquarie Place, Sydney, NSW 2000. Authorized and regulated by the Australian Securities & Investments Commission. • In Brazil, this document is issued by BNY Mellon Serviços Financeiros DTVM S.A., Av. Presidente Wilson, 231, 11th floor, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil, CEP 20030-905. BNY Mellon Serviços Financeiros DTVM S.A. is a Financial Institution, duly authorized by the Brazilian Central Bank to provide securities distribution and by the Brazilian Securities and Exchange Commission (CVM) to provide securities portfolio managing services under Declaratory Act No. 4.620, issued on December 19, 1997. • Investment vehicles may be offered and sold in Canada through BNY Mellon Asset Management Canada Ltd., a Portfolio Manager, Exempt Market Dealer and Investment Fund Manager. • In Dubai, United Arab Emirates, this document is issued by the Dubai branch of The Bank of New York Mellon, which is regulated by the Dubai Financial Services Authority. • In Germany, this document is issued by WestLB Mellon Asset Management Kapitalanlagegesellschaft mbH, which is regulated by the Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht. WestLB Mellon Asset Management Holdings Limited is a 50:50 joint venture between BNY Mellon and WestLB AG. WestLB Mellon Asset Management Kapitalanlagegesellschaft mbH is a wholly owned subsidiary of this joint venture. • If this document is used or distributed in Hong Kong, it is issued by BNY Mellon Asset Management Hong Kong Limited, whose business address is Level 14, Three Pacific Place, 1 Queen's Road East, Hong Kong. BNY Mellon Asset Management Hong Kong Limited is regulated by the Hong Kong Securities and Futures Commission for Type 1 (dealing in securities), Type 4 (advising on securities) and Type 9 (asset management) regulated activities, and its registered office is at 6th floor, Alexandra House, 18 Chater Road, Central, Hong Kong. • In Japan, this document is issued by BNY Mellon Asset Management Japan Limited, Marunouchi Trust Tower Main Building, 1-8-3 Marunouchi Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-0005, Japan. BNY Mellon Asset Management Japan Limited is a Financial Instruments Business Operator with license no 406 (Kinsho) at the Commissioner of Kanto Local Finance Bureau and is a Member of the Investment Trusts Association, Japan and Japan Securities Investment Advisers Association. • In Korea, this document is issued by BNY Mellon AM Korea Limited for presentation to professional investors. BNY Mellon AM Korea Limited, 21/F Seoul Finance Center, 84 Taepyungro 1-ga, Jung-gu, Seoul, Korea. Regulated by the Financial Supervisory Service. • In Singapore, this document is issued by The Bank of New York Mellon, Singapore Branch for presentation to professional investors. The Bank of New York Mellon, Singapore Branch, One Temasek Avenue, #02-01 Millenia Tower, Singapore 039192. Regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore. • This document is issued in the UK and in mainland Europe (excluding Germany), by BNY Mellon Asset Management International Limited, 160 Queen Victoria Street, London EC4V 4LA. Registered in England No. 1118580. Authorized and regulated by the Financial Services Authority. • This document is issued in the United States by BNY Mellon Asset Management.

BNY Mellon holds over 90% of the parent holding company of The Alcentra Group. The Group refers to these affiliated companies: Alcentra, Ltd and Alcentra NY, LLC. Only Alcentra NY, LLC offers services in the U.S. • Ankura Capital, BNY Mellon Western FMC, Insight Investment and WestLB Mellon Asset Management do not offer services in the U.S. This presentation does not constitute an offer to sell, or a solicitation of an offer to purchase, any of the firms' services or funds to any U.S. investor, or where otherwise unlawful. • BNY Mellon holds a 20% interest in Siguler Guff & Company, LP and certain related entities (including Siguler Guff Advisers LLC). \bullet BNY Mellon Beta Management is a division of The Bank of New York Mellon, a wholly-owned banking subsidiary of BNY Mellon. • BNY Mellon Cash Investment Strategies is a division of The Dreyfus Corporation. • BNY Mellon Western Fund Management Company Limited is a joint venture between BNY Mellon (49%) and China based Western Securities Company Ltd. (51%). The firm does not offer services outside of the People's Republic of China. • BNY Mellon holds a 19.9% interest in The Hamon Investment Group Pte Limited, which is the parent company of Blackfriars Asset Management Limited and Hamon U.S. Investment Advisors Limited, through whom Hamon offers services in the U.S. • The Newton Group refers to the following group of companies: Newton Investment Management Limited, Newton Capital Management Limited, Newton International Investment Management Limited, Newton Capital Management LLC, and Newton Fund Managers (CI) Limited. Except for Newton Capital Management LLC and Newton Capital Management Limited, none of the other Newton companies offers services in the U.S. • BNY Mellon Asset Management International Limited and any other BNY Mellon entity mentioned above are all ultimately owned by BNY Mellon.



The Alcentra Group Ankura Capital Pty Limited Blackfriars Asset Management Limited **BNY Mellon ARX** BNY Mellon Beta Management BNY Mellon Cash Investment Strategies BNY Mellon Western Fund Management Company Limited The Boston Company Asset Management, LLC The Dreyfus Corporation **EACM Advisors LLC** Hamon Investment Group Insight Investment Mellon Capital Management Corporation The Newton Group Pareto Investment Management Limited Siguler Guff & Company LP Standish Mellon Asset Management Company LLC Urdang Capital Management, Inc. Urdang Securities Management, Inc. Walter Scott & Partners Limited WestLB Mellon Asset Management 本情報提供資料は、BNY メロン・グループ(BNY メロンを最終親会社とするグループの総称です)の資産運用会社が提供する情報について、BNY メロン・アセット・マネジメント・ジャパン株式会社が審査の上、掲載したものです。当資料は情報の提供を目的としたもので、勧誘を目的としたものではありません。当資料は信頼できると思われる情報に基づき作成されていますが、その正確性、完全性を保証するものではありません。ここに示された意見などは、作成時点での見解であり、事前の連絡無しに変更される事もあります。

BNY メロン・アセット・マネジメント・ジャパン株式会社 BNY Mellon Asset Management Japan Limited

金融商品取引業者:関東財務局長(金商)第406号 [加入協会]社団法人 投資信託協会 社団法人 日本証券投資顧問業協会